

Ladakh as a Strategic Corridor: A Future for Himalayan Geopolitics

Dr Tsultim Zangmo¹ and Dr Vivash Ranjan Singh²

Abstract

Ladakh's geopolitical significance has grown considerably since the partitioning disputes with Pakistan and China in the 1940s and 1960s, respectively and has been further intensified by the abrogation of Article 370, frequent border clashes in 2020 and China's accelerating infrastructural development under China's Belt and Road Initiative. Ladakh shares borders with China's Tibet Autonomous Region to the east and Pakistan-administered Gilgit-Baltistan to the west and is near the disputed regions of Aksai Chin and the Siachen Glacier, making Ladakh a unique position in Asian geopolitics. Ladakh's proximity to the CPEC, a flagship project of the BRI that stretches to a 2700 km route that passes through PoK, has raised significant concerns about the potential for increased Chinese influence and encroachment on Indian sovereignty. The military standoff in 2020, further highlights the strategic importance of Ladakh in India's national security. This paper argues that Ladakh constitutes India's most crucial strategic location, functioning as an intelligence platform, military buffer and infrastructural frontier to counter China's hegemony in the Himalayan corridor under China's new initiatives like NSR. This paper analyses India's strategic response to China's growing assertiveness in the eastern region of Ladakh over the past six years, arguing that India's military, surveillance and infrastructural development in the region create coherent countermeasures against China's expansionist policy. The findings suggest that India has made significant progress in the region; however, a substantial infrastructure gap still exists as compared to China, which requires sustained investment and a strategic approach.

Keywords: Ladakh, China, New Silk Route, Himalayan Geopolitics, Sino-India Relations

¹ Dr Tsultim Zangmo is an Assistant Professor (Centre for Chinese Studies and Language) at University of Ladakh, Leh, India.

² Dr Vivash Ranjan Singh is an Assistant professor, Department of History, Zakir Husain College, University of Delhi.

Introduction

The relationship between India and China is increasingly becoming antagonistic, characterized by irreconcilable conflicts of interest on the border in the 21st century. Economic globalization has not mitigated the political and territorial issues but has made the stakes higher, leading to greater diplomatic opportunism and a readiness, particularly by China, to pursue their objectives through more expansionist and aggressive methods. Attempts have been made by both sides to expand bilateral cooperation on territorial issues in which their interests coincide. The annual talks on border disputes that began in 1981, the Understanding on the Maintenance of Peace and Tranquility in Border Areas agreement, and the Agreements on the Protection of the Line of Actual Control (LAC) appear to provide reassuring signs that both sides are also interested in diffusing tensions (CNBC, 2024). These measures have barely made an impact in promoting political goodwill or resolving territorial disputes, given that these treaties are more reactive than proactive and apply to a complex and long-standing dispute dating back to the 1950s. The border delineation process remains largely stagnant, while mutual suspicion between the two countries adds to the political challenges.

Against this backdrop, Ladakh has emerged as a most strategic location for Sino-India relations. The region's unique geographical position shares its border with TAR to the east, Pakistan-administered Gilgit-Baltistan to the west, and is near the disputed regions of Aksai Chin and the Siachen Glacier, making Ladakh a crucial connection in the trans-Himalaya security building. Ladakh proximity to critical choke points, such as the Karakoram Pass and the Siachen Glacier, grants India both defensive and offensive strategic leverage in the trans-Himalayan region. The region's high-altitude terrains offer an unparalleled vantage for surveillance, intelligence gathering, and warning against incursions. China appears to be pursuing both military and non-military means to move further towards achieving ultimate strategic ambiguity in eastern Ladakh as infrastructure expansion under China's New Silk Road (NSR) poses a threat to India. Furthermore, China appears to be pursuing both military and non-military means to move further towards achieving ultimate strategic ambiguity in eastern Ladakh. Ladakh's rugged terrain and high-altitude conditions make it a challenging but strategically critical area for military operations. Control over the region allows for the monitoring of key military movements and offers a vantage point for any potential incursions.

The abrogation of Article 370 in 2019 has further elevated the region's political salience. The Galwan Valley clash in 2020 a first deadly clash after 1975, transforming Ladakh from a peripheral frontier into a focal point of India's security assets. CPEC is a key component of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) that passes through Pakistan-administered Gilgit-Baltistan, a region that India claims as part of its own territory, is a strategic encirclement move by China and a sovereignty violation for India which has added a layer of strategic complexity to the geopolitical significant of Ladakh. Ladakh's proximity to this corridor position itself as a platform from which India can monitor, counter-balance, and if necessary, disrupt China's economic and military activities along this axis. While existing literature has examined Ladakh's border dispute, China's BRI strategy, and regional implications. Many literatures have systematically analyzed Ladakh as a multi-dimensional region that operates in intelligence, infrastructural, and diplomatic dimensions. This paper address the gap by arguing that Ladakh is the most strategic asset for India, not only as a reactive border but a geopolitical region to counter China's expansionist policy under BRI in the Himalayan corridor.

Ladakh's Role in the Ancient Silk Road

To understand Ladakh's contemporary strategic importance, it is essential to dig into Ladakh's historical roots as a commercial and cultural corridor before Ladakh become a geopolitical strategic border areas. Overland trade caravan routes were a vital feature of Central Asia, linking East with West. Trade in this area was part of what is commonly called the "Silk Road" or "Silk Route." This trade first appears in the archaeological record in the 2nd century BCE (Kenton, 2024). Ladakh's geographical position had made it an important crossroads on the Silk Route between India and Central Asia. Ladakh, despite its difficult terrain, provides access to travelers from central Asia and Tibet through its passes. The Silk Route passed through Ladakh between Kashmir in the west and Yarkand to the north, now in China's Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region. Although mountainous region of Ladakh was not just a geographical bridge but also a cultural melting pot where traders, monks, and explorers passed through, leaving behind a rich legacy of Buddhist, Islamic, and Tibetan influences.

Ladakh's high mountains, which may seem impassible for today's travelers, did not prevent trade between different regions in the past. Rather, Ladakh was a cultural crossroads in Asia, a channel for trade and interaction for thousands of years (Fewkes, 2006). As a result, the towns of Ladakh became marketplaces where traders from South and Central Asia mingled (Fewkes, 2006). The town of Leh was an important center of trade on the Silk Route. Main

halts on these routes are well marked with petroglyphs right from Kashmir to Yarkand and at major stations with huge rock carving of Buddhist deities, rock carvings, inscriptions and monasteries, mani-walls, and stupas found along the trekking routes (Chaturvedi and Sahai, 2020).

Three main trade routes passed through Ladakh in the early 20th century: 1. The Tibetan Route, 2. The South Asian route, 3. The Trans-Karakoram route (Fewkes, 2006). The Tibetan route led east to the city of Lhasa, the South Asian route led south through Kashmir, and the Trans-Karakoram route led north and east into Chinese Central Asia. Most of these routes were only open for brief periods in the summer, when the snows had melted in the mountains, and travelers could traverse the high passes between valleys (Fewkes, 2006). Beyond trade, Ladakh was also a vital corridor for the spread of Buddhism. The historical Silk Road was a significant factor in the development of China, India, Persia, and Europe, creating political and economic linkages between these civilizations.

The Geopolitical Significance of Ladakh

The importance of Ladakh's geo-strategic location is best viewed through the lens of both regional and global perspectives. Ladakh is placed at the junction of geopolitical interest, buffer and bridge against external incursion and potential economic linkages. Ladakh has transformed itself into a remote borderland to strategic location, further accelerating its strategic importance with the Galwan clash in 2019.

Ladakh as a strategic Importance for India

As mentioned before, Ladakh is not directly route to the NSR, however, its strategic location played an important role in the broader geopolitical landscape surrounding the BRI as its share border with TAR, Xinjiang, and Pakistan. Ladakh serves as a buffer zone for India to counter China's territorial expansion and military presence in Tibet along the Line of Control (LAC) (Faisal, 2024). The strategic location of Ladakh has been accelerated with the clash between the two countries in recent years, where Ladakh is at the heart of major geopolitical contestation between Pakistan and China. In addition, the Indus River passes through Ladakh, adding a layer of geopolitical importance of Ladakh, as the Indus is a lifeline of Pakistan. Control on Indus water resources is vital, as India will have an upper hand in the Indus water treaty.

Ladakh's Proximity to China, Pakistan, and the Aksai Chin:

Ladakh's strategic importance will continue to rise as China views Aksai Chin as crucial in connecting Tibet to Xinjiang via the G219 highway (Tibet Review, 2022). However, for India, maintaining control over Ladakh is essential to assert its territorial claims and to counterbalance China's presence in Aksai Chin (Verma, 2023). The standoffs in Galwan Valley and Pangong Tso in 2020 highlight the strategic importance of Ladakh as a buffer zone against Chinese expansionism. The region's proximity to important trade routes and the Karakoram Pass also enhances its geopolitical importance.

India's decision not to participate in China's BRI is its strong opposition to CPEC, a key component of the BRI that passes through Pakistan-administered Gilgit-Baltistan, a region that India claims as part of its own territory, which has indeed led to tensions between the two countries. Ladakh is home to several key military outposts, including the Siachen Glacier and the highest airstrip in the world at Daulat Beg Oldie (DBO). These military outposts give India an upper hand to maintain a military presence in the sensitive area like the Karakoram Pass (which connects Ladakh to the Chinese-controlled Aksai Chin). India's control over these key outposts will ensure monitor Chinese activities in the region and a quick response to any incursions from China, thereby act as a deterrent against potential aggression, and ensure a quick response to any incursion from China.

Ladakh Proximity to CPEC:

Ladakh's proximity to the Karakoram Pass, a significant corridor connecting the Indian subcontinent to Central Asia. The strategic location of Ladakh makes it a crucial point for monitoring, control, and security in the border area. Ladakh's proximity to the Karakoram Pass, which connects to the CPEC, further enhances its strategic relevance. CPEC connects China's Xinjiang region with Pakistan's Gwadar Port, passing through territories of India (Mallick and Kishor, 2024). This proximity highlights Ladakh's potential role as a buffer and a bridge in regional connectivity. On the one hand, the proximity provides India with the ability to monitor and, if necessary, disrupt or influence activities along this corridor. On the other hand, India by strengthening its military and infrastructure presence in Ladakh, can counter the strategic and economic advantages that CPEC seeks to offer China and Pakistan.

Strategic Infrastructure Development in Ladakh:

India has been investing heavily in infrastructure development in Ladakh to strengthen its defensive capabilities, under projects including roads, bridges, tunnels, and airstrips, which aim to improve connectivity and facilitate the rapid deployment of troops and supplies (Dicson, 2025). Projects like the construction of the Durbuk-Shyok-Daulat Beg Oldie (DS-DBO) road, which connects Leh to the northernmost point of India near the LAC, enhance India's strategic capabilities in the region. In addition, these infrastructure developments in the region will not only facilitate rapid troop mobilization but also serve to counter China's infrastructural advancement under the key project BRI. However, it is important to note that infrastructural development is not only sufficient but the real test of India's strategy lies in whether these developments are a credible deterrence to China's continuous infrastructural development and expansionist policy in the border region.

Strategic Military Importance of Ladakh:

The strategic military importance of Ladakh to India cannot be understated. Control over the Ladakh region ensures that India can monitor and respond to any developments or potential aggression by China in nearby areas like Aksai Chin and along the LAC. Ladakh is strategically important for both India and China due to its location near BRI routes and its role in regional security dynamics (Verma and Rawat, 2024). Therefore, it is important for India to assert its control over Ladakh to protect its national interest and security concerns.

Surveillance and Intelligence Gathering

Ladakh's high-altitude terrain offers strategic advantages for surveillance and intelligence gathering. Moreover, crucial air bases at Daulat Beg Oldie and Nyoma in Ladakh are crucial for countering potential Chinese Incursion (Rao and Pandey, 2025). The bases are not only airfields but strategic assets to facilitate rapid deployment, and logistic preparedness. The deployment of advance aircraft such as the Rafale and Sukhoi Su-30MKI, which are capable of long range missions and air superiority, as well as Chinook CH-47F helicopters, for heavy-lift logistic support in challenging mountain terrain, has significantly strengthen India's deterrent posture.

Moreover, the deployment of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) techniques related to border surveillance and infiltration detection, along with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) and satellite-based surveillance at Ladakh, are enhancing India's intelligence capabilities (EY, 2025). The use of satellite like RISAT and Cartosat has provided surveillance of sensitive areas, which detect infrastructure change and allow timely response and strategic movement. The combination of UAVs, satellite-based surveillance, electronic warfare aircraft, and advanced technology is revolutionizing the way the Indian Army operates in Ladakh, ensuring a more efficient and effective response to any potential threats.

Regional Connectivity Alternatives

While Ladakh itself is not a direct alternative route to the BRI, its strategic position allows India to develop and promote alternative regional connectivity initiatives. India has been actively involved in projects like the International North-South Transport Corridor (INSTC) and the development of the Chabahar Port in Iran, which offer alternative trade routes that bypass Pakistan and counter the economic corridors developed under the BRI. By strengthening its presence in Ladakh, India strengthens its geopolitical and military posture, countering China's growing influence in Asia and safeguarding its own interests.

China's Strategic Interests in Ladakh

China's interests in Ladakh are multifaceted. Ladakh's proximity to Xinjiang and Tibet, two areas of significant strategic importance to Beijing, adds to the complexity. China's control over Ladakh would provide with several strategic advantages to China, as China can use the region of Ladakh as a gateway for the potential extension of the BRI, providing a vital link to the Arabian sea and a shorter land-based route bypassing the vulnerable Strait of Malacca. Moreover, CPEC passes through Gilgit-Baltistan (PoK) and connects Xinjiang to Gwadar through Pakistan and Ladakh sits as a bridge between Xinjiang and PoK, China in order to strengthen its territorial claims and consolidate its strategic presence along disputed region, China built more than 600 "well-off society" villages on the borders with India, Nepal, Bhutan and was even preparing to do so in Pakistan-Occupied Kashmir (Kondapalli, 2024). China's control over Ladakh would complete the territorial corridor, giving China uninterrupted territory access through Aksai Chin across Ladakh into POK and down to Gwadar. This would give CPEC a smooth gateway through contested territory into one running corridor entirely controlled by China. CPEC will decrease China's dependency on the Malacca Straits, as China

import 80% of its energy through the Strait and strengthen its territorial base in the Arabian Sea. The CPEC route to Gwadar port will reduce the journey from 12,000 km to 2395 km, saving China an estimated \$2 billion per year. Moreover, the project promises to give 2.3 million jobs to Pakistan and an enormous upgrade of rail, roads, and industry (Langer 2024).

By asserting China's claims in many parts of eastern Ladakh and maintaining a military presence in those areas, China is keeping pressure on India, which is seen as a regional rival. The construction of infrastructure such as roads, airstrips, and military outposts near the eastern Ladakh region enables China to enhance its strategic depth. Moreover, the military standoffs in the Galwan Valley of Ladakh can be viewed as part of China's broader strategy to challenge India's regional dominance and protect its BRI interests. China is deliberately blurring its military and economic objectives in Ladakh by establishing a village near the LAC, and infrastructure development in the region serves as a demographic assertion. This double sword strategy makes it difficult for India to respond alone with military advancement in the region, but it requires a strategic approach that integrates diplomacy, infrastructural development, and demographic resettlement.

Securing Vital Trade Routes:

The CPEC, a flagship project of the BRI, passes through the Gilgit-Baltistan region of Pakistan-occupied Kashmir, just west of Ladakh, and is a key component of the NSR (Panda, 2021). Consolidating control over the Aksai Chin region and other parts of Ladakh would help China protect this corridor from potential threats and aim to secure critical trade routes that are integral to the BRI. Ladakh's geographical position also offers a potential corridor for China to link the CPEC with Central Asian countries, creating a direct overland route that could bypass traditional sea lanes.

China's Expansion of Strategic Infrastructure:

China has been expanding its infrastructure in the regions adjacent to Ladakh, particularly in Aksai Chin and along the LAC (Singh, 2024). The expanding of infrastructure like roads, airstrips, and military installations enhances China's ability to rapidly mobilize and deploy forces in the region. These infrastructure developments are not only aimed at strengthening China's military capabilities in the region but also to ensure the security of BRI projects by enabling swift responses to any threats in the region.

Control over water sources

Ladakh holds immense hydro-strategic value in the India-China geopolitical scenario. Beyond Ladakh's strategic geographical significance, the region is also a source of the Indus River that flows into Pakistan. The Indus Water Treaty (IWT), signed in 1960, governs water sharing between India and Pakistan. The Indus River is the backbone of water resources for Pakistan. 9 out of 10 Pakistanis live within the Indus basin. Indus is the primary source of freshwater and hydropower generation, and irrigates 80 percent of the farmed land. India suspended the IWT following the Pahalgam attack in April 2025, which has affected Pakistan's vital water supply in many regions of Sindh and Punjab, further deepening internal gaps in Pakistan's internal politics. Moreover, Pakistan labelled India's decision as "an act of war" (Khan and Parasher, 2025). Geographically, Ladakh granted India greater power to control the western rivers by feeding the Indus River from glaciers, enabling India to increase hydropower generation. India's control over the Indus headwaters in Ladakh strengthens India's ability to manage the flow of water effectively. This strategic move will not only boost India's energy production but will also solidify its position as a key player in the region's water management and distribution. Furthermore, China does not directly control the Indus River, but China increasing military presence and infrastructural development in the border of eastern Ladakh bring China close to the glacier zone which feeds the Indus River, raising concern about future water surveillance. However, by maintaining territorial control in Ladakh, India safeguards its access to freshwater and manages the flow of water effectively.

The New Silk Road

The concept of the Silk Road has been revived through China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which represents a monumental effort by China to reshape global trade routes and economic corridors. This modern incarnation under Xi Jinping is a geostrategic initiative that aims to boost connectivity and expand trade routes across between China and 65 countries in Eurasia and Northern Africa, which has a total population of 4.4bn through a network of infrastructure investments and trade partnerships (Great Pacific, 2015). Under the BRI, over 3,000 cooperation projects were established between China and its partner countries (Du, 2024). The plan is to build networks of roads, railways, pipelines, ports, and other infrastructure across Asia, connecting China to Europe, the Middle East, South Asia, and Southeast Asia.

While the BRI has been referred to by the PRC as the construction of physical roadways and ports along the ancient Silk Road, its actual strategic and economic implications encompass far more than the building of infrastructure for the trade of goods and services. The BRI is intended to bind the majority of the developing world into the economic orbit of the PRC, extending from the Middle East and Europe through the South China Sea to Sub-Saharan Africa. The incentives for other countries participating in the BRI are access to China's vast markets, and for less fortunate countries, economic and physical security. This ambitious project has been widely known as "The New Silk Road".

The BRI is not just a plan for securing a more reliable flow of raw materials for China, but part of a bigger geopolitical narrative and a way for China to directly offset a number of the assumptions that underpin US and Indian maritime strategies. China, on the other hand, views NSR as a "New Silk Road economic belt" which focuses on stronger economic relations with Central Asia in terms of trade and improving relations with South and Southeast Asia (Firdus and Dar 2014). Moreover, China is attempting to make its presence strong in Asia in response to the US vision of Asia-Pacific and India's 'Look East Policy' (LEP), and also to stretch its presence to Europe. The government of China has a strong reason to engage more deeply in these region in the light of Russia's efforts to regain its influence in Central Asia, the U.S pivot to the Asian Pacific, including the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) negotiations and strengthening cooperation with ASEAN, where U.S. support for democratic transition in Myanmar, and provide security cooperation with Singapore, Philippines, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, and Brunei as a result several countries are avoiding complete dependency on China. Therefore, the NSR has been a proper slogan for the leaders of China.

China's Aggressive Tactics and Its Implications

China's global rise in the 21st Century has been marked by an assertive transformation in its foreign policy and strategic expansion. Xi Jinping embraced a more confrontational global position, ranging from territorial assertiveness to economic dependency are reshaping the regional and global power dynamics. China's aggressive tactics in territorial disputes have been a common occurrence across multiple geopolitical regions (Zangmo, 2024). What is puzzling is that whenever China faces an unfavourable situation on its various frontiers, there appears to be a synchrony of action. China has been flexing its muscles in the South China Sea while also involving itself in a military confrontation with India at the LAC in eastern Ladakh. China's aggressive tactics in pursuing BRI have significant implications for global geopolitics,

economic relations, and regional stability. These tactics, which include assertive diplomatic, economic, and military maneuvers, have far-reaching consequences that extend beyond the immediate regions involved in BRI projects (Bush, 2021).

Geopolitical Tensions and Strategic Rivalries

China's aggressive push to expand its influence through the NSR has intensified geopolitical tensions, particularly with major powers like the United States and India. The BRI's route from China into regions of strategic interest, to regions like Central Asia, Russia, and the Middle East to Europe, and the sea route passes through South Asia, Southeast Asia, to Africa and the Mediterranean has sparked concerns about China's growing hegemony (Garlick, 2020). This has led to a strategic rivalry, most notably in the Indo-Pacific region. The increasing worry of China's intention led the Indo-Pacific region to seek to counterbalance China's influence through alliances and alternative economic initiatives like the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad), where the United States, Australia, India, and Japan, a core member, and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) intensified its security and economic ties (Smith, 2021).

Economic Dependencies and Debt Trap Diplomacy (DTD)

One of the major implications of China's aggressive tactics is the economic dependency that many BRI-participating countries have developed on Chinese investments (Himmer, 2023). Since the establishment of BRI, China has been expanding its area of dominance all over the world by provides debt to countries, often in need of infrastructure development and financial support, with high rates of interest that they could not repay. Many of these loans come with terms and end up being difficult to fulfill, leading to what is often referred to as "debt-trap diplomacy," coined by Brahma Chellaney in 2017 (Tai, 2024). Countries like Sri Lanka, which had to lease its Hambantota Port to China after being unable to repay loans, exemplify the risks associated with this economic dependency allowing China to increase its presence in the Indian Ocean (Tai, 2024). Mongolia, Zambia, Congo, Kenya or Djibouti, Ethiopia have the potential to face DTD and its consequences. Such scenarios have raised concerns about sovereignty and the long-term economic viability of these nations.

Regional Instability and Conflict

Since the past decade, China has transitioned from a narrative of “peaceful rise” to an expansionist. (Okuda, 2016). China’s aggressive tactics in many disputed regions, like the South China Sea, along with the LAC with India, have exacerbated regional instability. China’s construction of artificial islands and militarization in the South China Sea have heightened tensions with neighboring countries and with the United States, and conducting naval patrols near Taiwan is signaling its intension of expand its influence in key strategic areas. Similarly, aggressive Chinese actions along the LAC with India have led to military standoffs and increased the risk of conflict. These actions have disrupted regional cooperation and threaten the broader stability. Moreover, infrastructure development under the project NSR is positioned as an economic partnership but function as double edge sword with military and strategic utility, blurring the line between economic aid and strategic encroachment.

Ladakh as India’s Counter-Hegemonic Region

The strategic location of Ladakh is best understood through the lens of offensive realism, which reveals that great powers in an anarchic international system are driven to maximize their power and security. China on the one hand, is expanding its muscles in eastern Ladakh marked by infrastructural development and militarization in the LAC, and on the other hand, the initiative of NSR marked China’s strategic depth in the region. India, therefore, is establishment itself in the region by balancing power where geography itself is a strategic tool. India’s investment in Ladakh is read as a direct challenge to China’s counter-hegemonic in the trans Himalayan region.

Ladakh as a Multi-Dimensional Strategic Asset

India’s control over the highest altitude of the Saichen Glacier and Daulat Beg Oldie and deployment of Rafale Jet and advanced UAV surveillance in recent years signal a shift to a deterrence posture against China’s encroachment. However, China’s significant development in Tibet and Xinjiang which includes G219 highway through Aksai Chin and infrastructural development in the border area, provides China a logistical depth that India has only recently begun to match through projects like DS-DOB road. India’s military advancement and infrastructure development in Ladakh exhibit to the border international community that Ladakh is not merely a peripheral frontier rather a crown of the nation, which strengthen India’s position in any future negotiation over the LAC.

Moreover, the deployment of RISAT-1A and Cartosat 2, 3 series satellites, combined with Wireless Sensor Network (WSN)-based border monitoring and electronic warfare assets, has transformed Ladakh into an intelligence asset. Diplomatically, Ladakh at the doorstep of China's flagship economic corridor, strengthens India's position as an unavoidable variable in the geopolitical calculus.

The Broader Significance: Ladakh and the Future of Asian Order

Ladakh's significant is not just limited to the border but also represents a contest over the Asian order. As China's BRI vision is premised on an Asia under China's westward economic expansion through CPEC investment, security, and connectivity. India's development in the Ladakh region challenges that premise directly, which strengthens the border coalition of nations, including the Quad members, that are working together to ensure that Asia's future is multipolar rather than Sinocentric. Ladakh, in this sense, is not just a border dispute but a miniature of the defining geopolitical contest of the 21st century.

Conclusion

Ladakh's historical role as a crucial link on the ancient Silk Road underscores its enduring strategic importance. Ladakh, a region of rugged, high-altitude terrain, has evolved into a strategic key player, particularly in the context of Sino-Indian relations. Ladakh is location at the intersection of multiple crucial corridors that link China with Central Asia, and China. In the contemporary geopolitical landscape, Ladakh's strategic significance has only grown, particularly in the context of NSR. While the BRI has the potential to reshape global trade and development, its success is increasingly jeopardized by the geopolitical tensions, economic dependencies, and regional instabilities it has sparked. Ladakh is a critical strategic corridor for India in its efforts to counter BRI. Ladakh's strategic geographic location, along with India's infrastructure and military developments in the region, enables New Delhi to monitor and challenge the BRI's influence, particularly along the CPEC. India's increased military and geopolitical stance in Ladakh serves to both protect its own interests and support larger initiatives to counterbalance China's expanding influence in Asia. Ladakh will remain crucial to Himalayan geopolitics and beyond as regional rivalries heat up and global power dynamics change. Ladakh situated at the crossroads of major Asian powers, continues to be a key player in shaping future relations. With its strategic location, Ladakh provides a crucial points for

monitoring and control the potential threat from China's key project BRI. Furthermore, the recent Pahalgam terror attack has reignited long-standing tension between India, Pakistan, and China. Though China was not directly involved in the Pahalgam attack, China's support of Pakistan through defence cooperation and diplomatic shielding shows Pakistan as an economically and strategically important partner to China. The ongoing territorial disputes and military developments in the area reflect its critical importance in regional stability and security. Ladakh's future, therefore, remains closely tied to the broader geopolitical strategies of the surrounding nations, making it a key area to watch in the evolving dynamics of Asia. The trajectory of Himalayan geopolitics will ultimately be determined by how India transform Ladakh's structural advantage into a sustained strategic presence in order to shape Asia's future order.

References

- Bhat A. W. (2015). "Geopolitical significance of Ladakh", from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323496857_Geopolitical_significance_of_Ladakh (accessed on 01 June 2025).
- Bhattacharya. M. (2023). "Exploring India's ancient Silk Road in Ladakh", from <https://asia.nikkei.com/Life-Arts/Life/Exploring-India-s-ancient-Silk-Road-in-Ladakh> (accessed on 01 June 2024).
- Bush. J. W. (2021). "China's Soft Power in the Context of the Belt and Road Initiative: Three Case Studies", *UVM Honors College Senior (Theses)*, from <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1440&context=hcoltheses> (accessed on 03 June 2025).
- Chaturvedi, K. and Sahai, V. (2020). "Evolution and Development of the Trade Route in Ladakh: A Case-Study of Rock Carvings", from <https://ideas.repec.org/p/smo/spaper/046kc.html> (accessed on 01 June 2024).
- CNBC. (2024). "Chronicle of Conflict: The India-China border dispute from 1950 to 2024", from <https://www.cnbc18.com/india/chronicle-of-conflict-the-india-china-border-dispute-from-1950-to-2024-19496332.htm> (accessed on 01 October 2024).
- Dicson. (2025). "Ladakh's Infrastructure Development: Strategic Imperatives, Current Projects, and Future Trajectory", from <https://agentkart.com/ladakhs-infrastructure-development-strategic-imperatives-current-projects-and-future-trajectory/> (accessed on 11 June 2025).
- Du, Jia. (2024). "Development Status, Challenges, and Countermeasures in the 'Smooth Trade' Mode of China's Belt and Road Initiative", *Transitions of Economic, Business and Management Research*, Vol. 10, pp. 126-130, from

- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384857865_Development_Status_Challenges_and_Countermeasures_in_the_'Smooth_Trade'_Mode_of_China's_Belt_and_Road_Initiative (accessed on 01 March 2025).
- EY. (2025). Eyes across the spectrum: Advancing India's EO/IR Capabilities, from <https://www.ey.com/content/dam/ey-unified-site/ey-com/en-in/insights/aerospace-defense/ey-eyes-across-the-spectrum-advancing-india-s-eo-ir-capabilities.pdf> (accessed on 19 August 2025).
- Faisal, M. (2024). "Buffer Zone: A Key to Calm in the Western Himalayas", from <https://newlinesinstitute.org/strategic-competition/buffer-zones-a-key-to-calm-in-the-westernhimalayas/#:~:text=The%20military%20reassertion%20of%20competing,LA C%20separating%20the%20two%20countries> (accessed on 19 May 2025).
- Fewkes, J.H. (2006). "Trade at the Crossroads of Continents Northern India's Ladakh Region", Vol. 48, No. 3. pp. 19-26, from <https://www.penn.museum/sites/expedition/trade-at-the-crossroads-of-continents/#:~:text=> (accessed on 25 November 2024).
- Firdus, and Dar. (2014). "The New Silk Road Strategy Revisited", *The Journal of Central Asian Studies*, Vol. XXI, pp. 69-79, from <https://ccas.uok.edu.in/Files/93269b6c-7f53-4439-ae9a-3bdf55a4c649/Journal/e00ebfec-df88-48ad-97a7-5bceadafe3b6.pdf> (accessed on 07 June 2025).
- Garlick, J. (2020). "The Regional Impacts of China's Belt and Road Initiative", *Journal of Current Chinese Affairs*, Vol. 49 No.1, pp. 3-13, from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1868102620968848#:~:text=Jeremy%20Garlick,are%20shown%20in%20Figure%20> (accessed on 13 March 2025).
- Great Pacific. (2015). "China's New Silk Road: Tactics, Overstretch or Grand Strategy?", from <https://www.greaterpacificcapital.com/thought-leadership/chinas-new-silk-road-tactics-overstretch-or-grand-strategy> (accessed on 12 June 2025).
- Himmer, M. and Rod, Z. (2022). "Chinese debt trap diplomacy: reality or myth?," Vol. 13, No. 3. pp. 250-272, from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19480881.2023.2195280#> (accessed on 10 August 2025).
- IADN. (2022). India Deploys UAV to Monitor Chinese Movements, from <https://iadnews.in/india-deploys-uav-to-monitor-chinese-movements/> (accessed on 19 August 2025).
- Kenton. W. (2024). "Silk Route: Definition, History, and What Exists Now", from <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/silk-route.asp> (accessed on 19 October 2024).
- Khan, O.F. and Parasher, S. (2025). "Pak Says Diverting Indus Water 'act of war', Suspends Shimla Pact" *Times of India*, from <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/pak-says-diverting-indus-water-act-of-war-suspends-simla-pact/articleshow/120600607.cms> (accessed on 19 June 2025).
- Kingshuk, N. (2021). *A New Silk Road: India, China and the Geopolitics of Asia*, Kindle Edition.

- Knight, E. F. (1993). *Where Three Empires Meet: A Narrative of Recent Travels in Kashmir, Western Tibet, Gilgit: A Narrative of Recent Travel In Kashmir, Facsimile.*
- Kondapalli, S. (2024). "Opening up the Tibet Front? ", *Deccan herald*, from <https://www.deccanherald.com/opinion/opening-up-the-tibet-front-3077230> (accessed on 13 March 2025).
- Kumar S. (2022). "Geo-Political and Geo-Strategic Significance of Ladakh: An Indian Perspective," *International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods (IJARESM)*, Volume 10, Issue 3, pp. 1847-1854, from https://www.ijaresm.com/uploaded_files/document_file/Dr._Sanjay_Kumar_JsJM.pdf (accessed on 12 June 2025).
- Langer, S. (2024). "Chinese handshake costing Pak dear in Balochistan", *The Tribune*, from <https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/comment/chinese-handshake-costing-pak-dear-in-balochistan/> (accessed on 12 December 2025).
- Mallick, A. and Kishor, N. (2024). CPEC and India's security concerns. Strategic Analysis.
- Okuda, H. (2016). "China's "peaceful rise/peaceful development": A case study of media frames of the rise of China," *Sage Journal*, Vol. 1, No.1, from, <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2059436416646275> (accessed on 11 August 2025).
- Panda, J. P. (2021). "China's BRI diplomacy: What it means to India and India's rise", *Ordnungspolitische Diskurse*, from <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/235511/1/1761310097.pdf> (accessed on 29 April 2025).
- Rao, M. K. and Pandey. (2025). "The Strategic Role of Indian Air Bases Analysing the Importance of Forward Bases", Vol. 7, Issue 2, pp. 1-17, from <https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/2/39636.pdf> (accessed on 19 August 2025).
- Reuters. (2024). "What was the India-China military clash in 2020 about?", from <https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/what-was-india-china-military-clash-2020-about-2024-10-25/> (accessed on 30 March 2025).
- Singh, V. (2024). "China's Infrastructure Development Along The Line Of Actual Control (LAC) and Implications for India", *Centre for Joint Warfare Studies*, from <https://cenjows.in/chinas-infrastructure-development-along-the-line-of-actual-control-lac-and-implications-for-india/> (accessed on 18 May 2025).
- Smith, S. A. (2021). "The Quad in the Indo-Pacific: What to Know", *Council on Foreign Relation*, from <https://www.cfr.org/in-brief/quad-indo-pacific-what-know#:~:text=The%20Quad%2C%20composed%20of%20the,as%20tensions%20with%20China%20rise> (accessed on 01 February 2025).
- Sherratt, K. (n.d.). *Social and economic characteristics of Ladakh, India. Geology for Global Development, Geology for Global Development*, from <https://www.geol soc.org.uk/~media/shared/documents/events/Past%20Meeting%20Resources/Himalaya%2014%20Cultural%20Background%20to%20Ladakh.pdf> (accessed on 12 June 2025).

- Tai, A. (2024). "A Critical Analysis of China's Debt Trap Diplomacy", *Journal of Electrical Systems*, Vol. 20. No. 10. pp. 6196-6199.
- Tibet Review. (2022). "China to build second highway through Aksai Chin, claimed by India as part of Ladakh", from <https://www.tibetanreview.net/china-to-build-second-highway-through-aksai-chin-claimed-by-india-as-part-of-ladakh/> (accessed on 10 March 2025).
- Verma, R. (2023). "India-China Standoff in Ladakh and China's Dilemma", *Sage Journal*, Vol. 60, No. 3, pp. 259-282, from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00208817231201754> (accessed on 15 March 2025).
- Verma, N. K., and Rawat, R. (2024). Ladakh's Quest For Autonomy Amidst Geopolitical And Strategic Contestations. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, Vol. 60, No. 4, pp. 4748-4765, from <https://doi.org/10.1177/00219096241263963> (accessed on 09 June 2025).
- Zangmo, T. (2024). "China's aggressive tactics: A comparative analysis of the South China Sea Conflict and the Sino-India Border Dispute in Eastern Ladakh", *Electronic Journal of Social and Strategic Studies*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 114-130, from <https://doi.org/10.47362/EJSSS.2024.520> (accessed on 01 June 2025).

To whom it may Concern

This is to certify that the article has been neither published nor submitted for publication, either in whole or in part, in a professional journal or as a part in a book which is formally published or for internal purposes in any institution, and made available to the public. Moreover, both the author(s) give consent to publish the content and retain the copyright for such publication.

Dr. Tsultim Zangmo

Dr. Vivash Ranjan Singh